HEALTH OR TRADE? A CRITIQUE OF CONTEMPORARY APPROACHES TO GLOBAL HEALTH DIPLOMACY

Obijiofor Aginam^{*}

ABSTRACT

This article offers a three-pronged critique of contemporary approaches to "global health diplomacy". The author argues that while the related concepts of "global health governance" and "globalization of public health" aptly captures the dynamic governance landscape of public health in an inter-dependent world, "global health diplomacy" remains an omnibus concept that merely re-invents the wheel of age-old international health cooperation between nation-states. While the author identified three limbs of this critique, the article focused on the third limb, and argues that the major drivers of global of health diplomacy are the developments at the World Trade Organization (WTO) that impact markedly on public health globally. Focusing on three agreements enforced by the WTO – TRIPS, SPS and GATS, the author argues that that exponents of global health diplomacy should think of the relevant

[•] Academic Programme Officer & Director of Studies, Institute for Sustainability and Peace, United Nations University headquarters, Tokyo, Japan; Adjunct Research Professor of Law, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada. The paper was primarily written for the conference on "International Health and Trade" held at the Asian Center for WTO and International Health Law and Policy (ACWH), National Taiwan University, College of Law, Taipei, Taiwan, 22 July, 2010. I would like to thank Professor Chang-fa Lo, the Director of the Center for inviting me to the conference. The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not represent the official position of the United Nations or any of its agencies. The paper builds on a recently finished research project funded by Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) of Canada for which the author would like to thank SSHRC for their generous grant. The author can be reached at Aginam@unu.edu.

policy toolbox that brings health and foreign policy into a symbiotic and harmonious relationship. Anything short of this will continue to subject public health to trade, and the WTO will emerge as the undisputed norm-setting center not only for trade but health issues as well.

KEYWORDS: Global Health Diplomacy, Global Health Governance, Globalization of Public Health, Westphalian governance, SARS, WHO, WTO, SPS Agreement, Food Safety, Public Health, TRIPS, GATS, Migration of Health Professionals, Anti-retroviral Drugs, HIV/AIDS