## STUDY ON THE MAJOR ISSUES OF ISDS BETWEEN LONE STAR FUND AND THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA

## Heejun Kim\*

## ABSTRACT

Recently, some hedge funds have raised ISDS against developed Asian countries. The U.S.-based private equity fund, Lone Star has filed an arbitration request against the South Korean government with the ICSID. Although South Korea has been known as one of the investor-friendly countries in Asia since the IMF financial crisis, many arbitration experts predict that the Korean government will compensate Lone Star for taxing it astronomically. This research emerged from the question: What happened between them in Korea? This article investigates the facts of what occurred in Korea and the relevant Korean law with regard to the issues raised by Lone Star. This article offers a standard for calculating taxation in the context of indirect expropriation and applies this standard to the "Lone Star Fund v. the Republic of Korea" case before the final decision.

<sup>\*</sup> Lecturer, University of Seoul, Ph. D. in Law (Korea Univ.). He was previously a Research Professor, Legal Research Institute of Korea University. The main arguments raised in this article are based on the following articles published by the author in Korea (available only in Korean): "A Study of Core Issues in Investor-State Dispute" Dankook Law Review Vol. 36 No. 2 (December 2012) ¶¶ 855-83; "Taxation of Foreign Investment and Indirect Expropriation" Kyungpook Natl. Univ. Law Journal Vol. 41 (February 2013) ¶¶ 135-58; "A Study of Tax Issues in Investor - State Dispute; Focusing on Taxation of Permanent Establishment", Korean Journal of Taxation Research Vol. 31 No. 4 (December 2014) ¶¶ 133-60; "A Study on the SCC Arbitration Case; Quasar de Valores SICAV SA et al. v. The Russian Federation", Journal of Arbitration Studies, Vol. 28 No. 3 (March, 2014) ¶¶ 45-58. He may be contacted at: hjkimwow@hanmail.net

**KEYWORDS:** ISDS, ICSID, BIT, Banking Act, taxation, indirect expropriation, limited partnership, permanent establishment, Fair and Equitable Treatment, Commercial Law